| 2 | |
|----|--|
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |

27

28

1

| UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT |
|---------------------------------|
| NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA |

TIMOTHY B. GLASPER,

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF OAKLAND, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. <u>23-cv-04699-HSG</u>

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Re: Dkt. No. 5

Plaintiff is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE why Dkt. No. 5, Defendants' motion to dismiss, should not be granted for failure to file a response to the motion by the October 4, 2023, deadline, or a statement of non-opposition as required by Civil L.R. 7-3(b). Alternatively, Plaintiff is DIRECTED TO SHOW CAUSE why the case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff shall file a statement of two pages or less by November 9, 2023.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10/12/2023

HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR. United States District Judge